# On the Economic Potential of Agroecology

### A comprehensive approach is needed

Scientific studies and reports (cf. IAASTD+10 – Herren et al. 2020<sup>1</sup>; HLPE 2019<sup>2</sup>; STALLOR 2020<sup>3</sup>; IPCC 2019<sup>4</sup>; IPBES 2019<sup>5</sup>; WBGU 2021<sup>6</sup>) confirm that a holistic transformation of agriculture and food systems is necessary for sustainable development and to overcome current crises. This transformation should therefore:



diversity loss, land degradation and hunger → Consider ecological, socio-cultural, technological, economic and political dimensions from production to consumption Empower and involve smallholder farmers

## Agroecology as a promising lever for a socio-ecological transformation

Agroecology is a dynamic, transdisciplinary, and intersectoral approach. It encompasses agricultural production as well as consumption and in consequence ecological, sociocultural, technological, economic, and political dimensions. In 2019 the international High-Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition (HLPE) described agroecology by defining 13agroecological principles. These are in line with the 10 elements consolidated by the 197 member states of FAO in 2018 (see Figure 1→).

Agroecology promotes diverse production systems and cultivation with nature (see Figure  $2 \rightarrow$ ). In addition to conserving natural resources, it strengthens farms and regional economies to become more resilient to crop failures, climate change and less dependent on price fluctuations of imported



→

Figure 1 | Presentation of 13 principles according to HLPE, 2019.

**Giz** Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH



goods such as pesticides or seeds. The promotion of local value addition, processing and local or direct distribution channels creates additional and secure income opportunities with fair wages, especially for women and young people, thus strengthening their economic status.

### Agroecology has economic potential

Agroecological systems are economically viable and, depending on the context, can be more profitable than conventional systems.7 On average, agroecological farming systems see a significant increase in profit margins, although this varies according to the type of production.8 The diverse cultivation and local value creation offer versatile and additional income opportunities and spread the income over the entire year, which makes livelihoods more stable.9 The systemic approach of agroecology ensures and increases circularity and synergies within the agroecosystem and the surrounding ecosystems. The diverse agroecological farming systems together with advances of organic farming practices lead to an overall higher productivity compared to monocultures or cashcrop systems. Locally adapted best practices and varieties help to close the yield gap between organic and conventional agriculture by reducing pest pressure and improving nutrient use efficiency. The integration of ecosystems services and system diversity additionally increase the resilience against shocks.<sup>10</sup> Those benefits outweigh any lower yields of individual crops or increased costs for mechanical weed control.<sup>11</sup> According to a 2023 study, farmers in Andhra Pradesh, India, saw an average net increase in their income of 49 % through agroecological approaches (also known as natural farming). This was mainly the result of a 44 % reduction (on average) in input costs, especially for fertilisers and pesticides.<sup>12</sup> In addition, agroecology creates new jobs (on- and off-farm), promotes fair wages (living income), and contributes to higher incomes through local value creation.<sup>13</sup> This creates perspectives for the future in rural areas - beyond the farm level.

The economics of agroecology are also evident when the focus is on profits rather than sales and when external costs (negative and positive) are priced in. Agroecology can lead to positive external costs (e.g. increased soil fertility, maintained ecosystem services) and thus create added value not only economically, but also socially. For example, there are positive effects from higher soil fertility and increased biodiversity as well as lower pesticide residues.<sup>14</sup> The example of India shows that farms using natural inputs achieve the same or higher yields compared to other systems, with an average yield increase of 11%, while at the same time obtaining greater plant diversity.<sup>15</sup>

STRENGTHS	WEAKENESSES
<ul> <li>Increased resilience to climate shocks, pests and diseases</li> <li>Strong human capital, knowledge and fair and safe working conditions</li> <li>Reduce input costs and achieve higher value creation in the long run</li> <li>New jobs (on- and off-farm jobs) and higher incomes or fair wages through local value creation</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lack of start-up capital</li> <li>Uneven playing field because negative costs are not internalised or are only partially internalised</li> <li>Transition period until the benefits of agroecology are fully realized</li> </ul>
OPPORTUNITIES	THREATS
→ Increasing demand for sustainable and healthy products	<ul> <li>Lack of incentives necessary for transformation</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Growing impact investments for solid and long-term financing</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Limited access to investment and credit</li> <li>Unfavourable political environment</li> </ul>
→ Growing global anchoring of agroecology in the 2030 Agenda, the Paris Climate Agreement, the Convention against Land Degradation (UNCCD) and the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)	<ul> <li>Structural preference for business as usual</li> </ul>

Table 1 | Summary of the findings in SWOT analysis (own elaboration)

## Insights from the ground

The global programme "Soil Protection and Rehabilitation for Food Security" (ProSoil), commissioned by Germany's Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development and co-funded by the European Union and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundations, supports and advises smallholder farmers in Benin, Burkina Faso, Ethiopia, India, Kenya, Madagascar and Tunisia on agroecological practices and transformation processes. To answer the prevailing question about the economic viability of agroecological practices and their wider societal impacts, reports

Figure 3 | Test field with Mucuna (velvetbean) as green manure in Kenya.



and studies conducted between 2014 and 2023 within the framework of ProSoil were analysed. According to the findings, implementing agroecological practices can lead to economic benefits, while the degree of profit increase may differ depending on the local circumstances. The detailed analysis and its findings have been recently published<sup>16</sup>. For example, integrated soil and water conservation for farmers in Ethiopia, India and Tunisia led to increased production and higher profits. In all regions, integrated soil fertility management (ISFM) increased crop yields and profit margins through composting, liming and fertiliser use. Organic farming in Benin and Kenya was profitable, reducing costs and achieving higher market prices. Socio-economic and societal benefits included improved food security through higher production volumes and diversified cultivation, which in turn contributed to household nutrition. The reduction or non-existent use of pesticides thanks to organic farming lowers costs, enhances soil health and mitigates harmful health effects caused by air pollution. Agroecological practices are also more resilient to climate change because they are effective at counteracting drought and heat while promoting carbon sequestration. Some practices, such as agroforestry, have proven to be a versatile tool for improving systems and resilience to climate change, with success dependent on long-term investment. The introduction of agroecological practices has increased farmers' incomes and promotes knowledge sharing and community cohesion.

Despite many already proven and profitable agroecological business models, small rural farms are seldom marketoriented or hardly integrated into monetary economy. They often lack access to adequate financial services, such as loans which are needed to access certain value chains. To provide agricultural and processing businesses with access to appropriate financial services, the global project "Promotion of agricultural finance for agri-based enterprises in rural areas" (GP AgFin) works together with both local financial institutions and agricultural and agri-based enterprises. Incentives for agroecological business models are one pathway of supporting a socio-ecological transformation of food and agriculture systems. The price increase of conventional fertilizers and fossil fuels (especially since the Russian war of aggression against Ukraine) has resulted in a growing interest of private sector in agroecological business models (e.g., organic fertilizers or crop protection products) as well as in renewable energies. GP AgFin facilitates access to adapted financial products for sustainable business models that contribute to the agroecological transformation.

In cooperation with financial institutions, demandorientated and market-based financial services are developed on the basis of profitable business models. These adapted financial services promote the use of renewable energies, such as solar-powered irrigation systems in pineapple and cashew production in Togo, as well as the reduction of mineral fertilisers and the efficient use of water and land resources. In Mali, for example, adapted loans are increasingly being used to apply the System of Rice Intensification (SRI) method using the organic fertiliser FERTINOVA in rice cultivation.

In Zambia, GP AgFin is cooperating with ABBank and the social enterprise COMACO. ABBank was supported by the GP AgFin country package Zambia in developing and piloting the "climate smart loan" digital financial service. COMACO's small agricultural enterprises that apply agroecological farming methods and agroforestry receive favourable credit conditions.

The adapted financial services thus contribute to improving the climate and food resilience of smallholder farms and agri-based businesses in rural areas.



Figure 4 | GP AgFin facilitates access to microfinance for sustainable business models for women and youth in Mali und Togo.

- 1 IAASTD+10 Herren et al (2020). Transformation of our food systems the making of a paradigm shift. Publishers: Zukunftsstiftung Landwirtschaft und Biovision. BuchWebTransformationFoodSystems.pdf or (globalagriculture.org) (last accessed 04.08.2022)
- 2 HLPE (2019). Agroecological and other innovative approaches for sustainable agriculture and food systems that enhance food security and nutrition. A report by the High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security. HLPE Report 14 Rome. www.fao.org/cfs/cfs-hlpe (accessed on 04.08.2022)
- 3 HLPE (2020). Food security and nutrition: building a global narrative towards 2030. A report by the High-Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition of the Committee on World Food Security. Rome. www.fao.org/3/ca9731en/ca9731en.pdf (accessed on 04.08.2022)
- 4 IPCC (2019). Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial ecosystems. www.ipcc.ch/srccl (accessed on 04.08.2022)
- 5 IPBES (2019). Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. E. S. Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H. T. Ngo (editors). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. www.ipbes.net/sites/default/files/2020-02/ipbes\_global\_assessment\_report\_summary\_for\_policymakers\_en.pdf (accessed on 04.08.2022)
- 6 WBGU (2021). Rethinking land in the anthropocene: From separation to integration (2021). Berlin: German Advisory Council on Flobal Change (WBGU). WBGU FR 2020: Rethinking Land in the Anthropocene Book or Publication (wbgu.de) (accessed on 09.02.2024)
- 7 Van der Ploeg et al. (2019). The economic potential of agroecology: Empirical evidence from Europe. In: Journal of Rural Studies 71 (2019) 46-61. Elsevier. dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2019.09.003 (accessed on 04.08.2022)
- 8 Grémillet & Fosse (2020). The economic and environmental performance of agroecology. La Note D'Analyse no. 24. August 2020. Executive Summary - The economic and environmental performance of agroecology (strategie.gouv.fr) or The economic and environmental performance of agroecology | France Stratégie (strategie.gouv.fr) (last access: 04.08.2022)
- 9 Westerberg et al. (2023). An assessment of the economics of agroecological farming in Haiti: A case study of the Northern Part of Haiti's Central Plateau. ELD Initiative. https://www.eld-initiative.org/fileadmin/ELD\_Filter\_Tool/Case\_Study\_Haiti\_2023/Haiti\_2023\_Agroecology\_ELD\_Report\_EN.pdf (accessed on 09.02.2024)
- 10 FiBL (2024). Cultivating change with agroecology and organic agriculture in the tropics: Bridging science and policy for sustainable production systems. Research Institute of Organic Agriculture FiBL, Frick. Cultivating change with agroecology and organic agriculture in the tropics (fibl.org) (accessed on 24.04.2024)
- 11 See footnote 8
- 12 GIST Impact Report (2023). "Natural Farming Through a Wide-Angle Lens: True Cost Accounting Study of Community Managed Natural Farming in Andhra Pradesh, India." GIST Impact, Switzerland and India. https://futureoffood.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/apcnf-tca-study\_2023.pdf (accessed on 09.02.2024)
- 13 Chappell & Bernhart (2018). Agroecology as a Pathway towards Sustainable Food Systems. Misereor. Agroecology as a Pathway towards Sustainable Food Systems (misereor.org) (last access: 04.08.2022)
- 14 FiBL (2021). What is the contribution of organic agriculture to sustainable development? A synthesis of twelve years (2007 2019) of the "long-term farming systems comparisons in the tropics (SysCom)". Syscom\_Synthesis\_Report.pdf (orgprints.org) (accessed on 04.08.2022)
- 15 See footnote 12
- 16 Cartsburg, Kaufmann & Nagel (2024). Economic benefits through agroecological soil practices: Evidence by ProSoil. Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH. https://www.giz.de/en/downloads/giz2024-en-measuring-economic-benefits-of-agroecology.pdf (last access: 01.05.2024)

**Published by:** Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH

Registered offices Bonn and Eschborn, Germany

Address Friedrich-Ebert-Allee 32 + 36 T +49 228 44 60 - 0 F +49 228 44 60-17 66 E info@giz.de I www.giz.de/en **Responsible**: Sector Project Rural Development

Authors Dorothee Baum (GIZ), Isabel Renner (ext. Consultant)

**Design/layout**: now [nau], Frankfurt/Main

Bonn, Juni 2024